

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,112	07/02/2003	Jacob Fraden		3389
	590 08/11/2005	EXAMINER		
Jacob Fraden, 6215 Ferris Sq.	Advanced Monitors	VERBITSKY, GAIL KAPLAN		
Suite 125		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Diego, CA	92121		2859	
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1	4	'
٦	Ĺ	V	

Application No.	Applicant(s) .	
10/612,112	FRADEN, JACOB	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Gail Verbitsky	2859	

Advisory Action	10/612,112 FRADEN, JACOB		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Gail Verbitsky	2859	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	•	•	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comparing time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE Fi).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the safter the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ny reduce any
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must b AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)) be filed within the time period set for), to avoid dismissal on orth in 37 CFR 41.37(of the appeal. a).
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in below appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1			(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a):		
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2 and 4-8.			
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2 and 4-6. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ills to provide a (1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	

Continuation of 13. Other: Examiner has updated a seach according to the newly submitted claims and found that: the newly submitted claims 9-29 can be rejected as being unpatentable over Suszynski et al. U.S. 5018872, who discloses a device in the field of applicant's endeavor comprising a probe 17, as shown in fig. 7, having a rough textured surface (air pockets/ discrete depressions along the elongated length as well as circumferential dimensions of the probe.

when plastic film/ probe cover 41 is on, the surface of the probe forms a plurality of air pockets 51 with the film 41, the air pockets minimize the heat transfer, and thus, act as heat insulation between the skin of the internal ear canal and the probe (entire col. 5), as claimed by applicant.

Arguments with respect to claims 1-2,4-8 are now moot.

GAL VERBITSKY